

Evaluation framework: Sustainable CBDRM

The below is a framework with which we will evaluate the extent to which a case of CBDRM demonstrates sustainability. The top 25 examples of sustainable CBDRM will be further analysed at regional best practice workshops.

Sustainability: the ability to be maintained at a certain rate or level or period of time

The terms used within this framework can be interpreted to fit country or community contexts. This may be particularly relevant about terms for 'sub-national DRM plans' and 'local government'.

Calculating the top 25 sustainable CBDRM examples in your region

| | |
|---------------|---|
| STEP 1 | Assess the cases against the criteria for sustainability. Fill in the record sheet by providing scores for each answer |
| STEP 2 | Select the 40 cases that receive the highest scores. Send these to your RDC. |
| STEP 3 | Gather more in depth information. Arrange interviews or exchange emails with those who submitted these case studies where necessary to help fill in gaps and decide which are stronger examples. |
| STEP 4 | Based on this further information, select the highest scoring 25 cases. This may require some subjectivity. Where there is uncertainty which case should be selected in the final 25, consider factors such as diversity in location, political and social context, and type of activity. Send these to your RDC by March 13 th . |

| Category | Theme | Indicator | Scoring (to be completed by the evaluator) |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---|--|
| Sustainability of CBDRM | Permanence | The CBDRM activities: 1) occur through the mobilisation of local resources 2) occur due to community-led resource mobilisation 3) remain funded by project funding | Pick which best describes the situation. 1) occur through the mobilisation of local resources = <i>1 point</i> 2) occur due to community-led resource mobilisation = <i>1 point</i> 3) remain funded by project funding = <i>0 points</i> |
| | | Significant external support was withdrawn: 3 or more years ago 2 or more years ago 1 or more year ago Significant external support still ongoing | 3 or more years ago = <i>5 points</i> 2 or more years ago = <i>3 points</i> 1 or more year ago = <i>1 point</i> Significant external support still ongoing = <i>0 points</i> |
| | Effectiveness | CBDRM activities successfully built local capacities to cope with disasters. | YES = <i>5 points</i> NO = <i>0 points</i> |
| | Ownership | Local actors have organised informal or formal mechanisms to coordinate processes. | YES = <i>1 point</i> NO = <i>0 points</i> |
| | | Local governments continue to play a support role in the CBDRM process. | YES = <i>1 point</i> NO = <i>0 points</i> |
| | | CBDRM activities now utilise local knowledge or indigenous techniques. | YES = <i>1 point</i> NO = <i>0 points</i> |
| | Adaptiveness | There have been changes in direction and approaches to suit changing priorities, opportunities and contexts, or mechanisms exist to facilitate this if needed. In particular, the CBDRM activities take into account future | YES = <i>1 point</i> NO = <i>0 points</i> |

| | | | |
|--|-----------|---|--|
| | | projections of climate change and its impacts. | |
| | | Monitoring and evaluation of the CBDRM activities exist, and learning applied. | YES = 1 point NO = 0 points |
| | Inclusion | <p>Marginalised groups remain included in the CBDRM process:</p> <p>Women and men</p> <p>Children and youth</p> <p>Elderly persons</p> <p>Persons with disabilities</p> <p>Other relevant marginalised groups</p> | <p>Women and men: YES = 0.5 point NO = 0 points</p> <p>Children and youth: YES = 0.5 point NO = 0 points</p> <p>Elderly persons: YES = 0.5 point NO = 0 points</p> <p>Persons with disabilities: YES = 0.5 point NO = 0 points</p> <p>Other relevant marginalised groups: YES = 0.5 point NO = 0 points</p> |